

# Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

			_			
Bill #	SB0197			ne court transcripts and prov	vide for adjustments	
Primary Sponsor:	Laslovich, Jesse		Status: As A	mended		
Significant 1	Local Gov Impact	✓ Needs to be incl	uded in HB 2	☐ Technical Concerns		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Dedicated Revenue F	Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FISCAL S	SUMMARY			
		FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 Difference	
<b>Expenditures:</b>		<u>Differ enec</u>	<u>Difference</u>	Difference	<u> </u>	
General Fund		\$0	\$9,097	\$9,097	\$18,194	
Revenue: General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net Impact-Cen	eral Fund Ralance		(\$9.097)	(\$9,097)	(\$18 194)	

### **Description of fiscal impact:**

This bill as amended increases some of the fees established for transcripts and provides for an ongoing cost-of-living adjustment to the transcript rate.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **Assumptions:**

#### **Judicial Branch**

- 1. The Judicial Branch paid \$16,933 for transcripts in FY 2008.
- 2. This legislation requires the Office of the Court Administrator to calculate the cost-of-living adjustment prior to June 30 of each even-numbered year. The cost of living adjustment would be effective starting in FY 2011. The consumer price index information is not yet available for June 2009 through May of 2010 so the most recent data available is used for the purposes of this fiscal note (from June of 2007 to May of 2008).
- 3. The June 2007 index is 208.352. The May 2008 index is 216.632. The increase is 8.28 (216.632-208.352). The percentage increase is 3.974% (8.28/208.352). The increase for FY 2011 and FY 2012 is estimated at 3.974%. The transcript rate for FY 2011 and FY 2012 would be \$2.10 (\$2.00 x 3.974%).

- rounded to the nearest 5 cents). The transcript rate for FY 2013 would be \$2.20 (\$2.10 x 3.974% rounded to the nearest 5 cents).
- 4. The percentage increase for FY 2011 and FY 2012 is 5% (\$2.10/\$2.00). The percentage increase for FY 2013 is 10% (\$2.20/\$2.00).
- 5. The Judicial Branch is projecting increased costs of \$847 (\$16,933 x 5%) in FY 2011 and FY 2012. The Judicial Branch is projecting increased costs of \$1,693 (\$16,933 x 10%) in FY 2013.

## Office of the State Public Defender (OPD)

- 6. The OPD paid \$165,009 for transcripts in FY 2008.
- 7. The increased cost for the inflation factor described in assumptions #3 4 would be \$8,250 in FY 2011 and FY 2012 and \$16,501 in FY 2013.

	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 Difference	
Judicial Branch <u>Fiscal Impact:</u>					
Expenditures: Operating Expenses	\$0	\$847	\$847	\$1,693	
Funding of Expenditures: General Fund (01)	\$0	\$847	\$847	\$1,693	
Office of the State Public Defe Fiscal Impact:	ender				
Expenditures: Operating Expenses	\$0	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$16,501	
Funding of Expenditures: General Fund (01)	\$0	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$16,501	
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):  General Fund (01) \$0 (\$9,097) (\$9,097) (\$18,194)					

## **Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

1. 3-5-604 (4) (c), may have an impact on county governments.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date